WHENTIN PROTECTION	
Same Cane	
FLORIDA	

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/D	DISCOVERY (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0112546 DA FACILITY NAME: HC	TE: <u>09/20/2010</u> DLMAN HONDA OF FORT L.	ARRIVE: <u>1030</u> AUDERDALE	DEPART: <u>1130</u>	
FACILITY LOCATION OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIO	FORT LAUDERDALI D REPRESENTATIVE: JA	E 33304-1951 SON WOODHAM	PHONE: (954)335-2080 Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☑ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es)) 1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) Yes No 2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used?				
 (check appropriate 1. Is/Are the surfac emission limiting 2. Does the facility 	e box(es)) e coating operation(s) subject t g standard of Chapter 62-296.5 cause, suffer, allow or permit t	to a VOC Reasonably A 00, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-2) the discharge of air poll	TS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. Available Control Technology (R. 10.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.) lutants which cause or contribute	□Yes ⊠No to

PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)

(check $\overline{\square}$ appropriate box(es))

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:

a) maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray?	∐Yes ∐ No
b) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
c) considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)?	Yes No
d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?	Yes No
e) implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:	
1. spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning	
cycles?	- Yes No

	Cycles ?		110
2) recycling cleaning solvents?	Yes	No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR</u> A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	RES – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.	
1. Since the last inspection has there been		
a) installation of any new process equipment?		
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? [Yes No		
 c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form? Yes No d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete 		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62 local program office?	2-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or	⊠No
Elizabeth Susky	09/20/10	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	09/20/11	

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Facility has two spray booths it utilizes, one old booth, polishing room, one mixing room and haz-mat storage area. Housekeeping was good. VOC records will be submitted to the department.